

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/691,231	MAZZAROLO, IVONIS M.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	

**All Participants:**

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(2) MR. YOUNG.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 7 April 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1-9*

Claims discussed:

*THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE*

Prior art documents discussed:

*THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
EXAMINER INFORMED MR. YOUNG THAT ELECTED INSTANT CLAIMS 1-9 WOULD BE ALLOWABLE UPON  
FILING OF TD OVER PARENT APPLICATION 10/207595 AND THE CHANGES MADE TO THE PARENT CLAIMS  
ARE MADE TO INSTANT CLAIMS 1-9..